Description of Problem to be solved - 3

Very good description of problem and how the team's GRP (in broad terms) will address it. No lacking some very clear or detailed explanation, sufficient for a clear understanding.

Contextualised with clear explanation of the gap in literature/application, and how the GRP project will fill this gap.

Background Information & Research - 10

Knowledge of the subject area is fully relevant to the project, with a full, excellent appreciation of context and motivation.

There is depth of knowledge of the topic, key work is identified and it has been explicitly connected to the project. E.g., extensive (literature) review of the field and excellent awareness of research/(industrial) applications and relevance

Requirements Specification (agreed between Team and Supervisor) – 10

Perfect description of the project's requirements, with clear and verifiable requirements, both functional and non-functional. No missing or misrepresented requirements.

(Initial) Design - 10

Perfect design, including the appropriate UML (or other) diagrams, explanation and justification. Clearly connected to the Requirements.

(Initial) User Interface (UI) - 5

UI related discussion is included and complete. Diagrams or appropriate descriptions are included. Explanation and presentation are sufficient with perfect explanation, justification.

Record/Discussion of Key Implementation Decisions (OS, Programming Language, Hardware, Software) – 10

Very good to excellent listing and discussion of implementation decisions. Very good to perfect explanation, justification

Results of (Initial) Implementation steps/prototypes – 10

Perfect presentation of how progress has been made with the implementation or prototypes so far. Perfect descriptions and presentation of implementation prototypes and (where relevant) feedback from relevant stakeholders

Discussion of problems encountered (technical, personal, management, …) – 10

Problems so far have been clearly identified and described, very well. Clear analysis of the problems (including possible cause and action to take) are explained very well. Remedial action (taken or planned) has been articulated very well.

Time Plan for the project – 5

A detailed plan, potentially updated from the original, with explanation. Clear, achievable timeline. Reflecion on progress.

Quality and usage of sources (in-text citations) to support content/ development of argument – 7

A comprehensive range of references are included in the text (books/journals/conference papers/web/data sheets) as appropriate for the project; All references are from reputable sources. In-text-citations are consistently correctly used to support report content/arguments, as appropriate for a computer science context. E.g. "Structures are great (Smith, 2015).". All sources in references are used in the text.

References/Bibliography list – 3

Key works in the subject area identified. References are complete and presented using a standard and consistent format.

Appendices (including minutes from meetings held to date) – 5

Minutes included. Other relevant and excellent appendices also included and presented excellently

Technical writing skills – 10

Length had been excellently judged with minor amounts of excess or brevity and report is structured to a good standard presenting the work using technical language that is consistent in the entire report. 'There are no or very few typos in the document and the intended meaning is clear throughout. there has been an attempt to logically order and structure the report which has been successful in parts.